Safety and Efficacy of Imiquimod in the Treatment of Infantile Hemangioma; a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the safety and efficacy of imiquimod in the treatment of infantile hemangioma (IH).

Method: Systematic search was conducted in nine electronic databases for selecting relevant articles reporting the safety and efficacy of imiquimod as a therapeutic agent for treatment of IH. Meta-analysis was used to pool the results.

Results: Of total 180 records screened, we included 9 studies for this systematic review and meta-analysis. About one-fifth of the patients (20.9%) have showed clinical resolution with 95% confidence interval (CI) of 11.8% to 34.1%. Regarding IH type, superficial type showed the highest rates of both clinical resolution and excellent response rates with 31.2% (95% CI= 16.6% to 50.8%) and 26.5% (95% CI= 11.6% to 49.6%), respectively. A relatively high prevalence of any side effects with 63.1% (95% CI= 47.6% to 76.3%) has been reported. The highest reported side effect was crustation (44.1%; 95% CI= 27.8% to 61.8%).

Conclusion: Imiquimod is effective in the treatment of superficial IH and it is associated with local side effects.
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1 INTRODUCTION:

The two major types of vascular lesions in infants are; tumors and vascular malformations [1]. The most common tumors in infancy, of a vascular origin, are hemangiomas. The exact incidence may not be known; however, the reported incidence is ranging from 4% to 10% of the Caucasian infants [2–5]. Most of the hemangiomas are occurring in a sporadic way and in some cases, the autosomal dominant pattern of familial transmission; has been also reported [6]. In a large series of 136 families having established infantile hemangiomas (IHs), about one-third of the patients have an associated family history; mostly among first-degree relatives [7]. Noteworthy, IHs are three times more common among females (as compared to males) [8–10] and in non-Hispanic white races (as compared to other ethnic groups) [4, 10, 11]. The most commonly reported risk factor is the low birth weight; hence, the preterm infants have an increased incidence of hemangiomas [10].

The growth of IHs has a characteristic life cycle of two dynamic phases; proliferation and involution [12–14]. A proliferation phase started at the early infancy, followed by an intermediate “plateau” phase during the mid-to-late infancy then the involution phase by the first year of life [12–14]. Morphologically, IHs can be classified into three types; superficial, deep and mixed [12, 15]. The most common presentation is the superficial type which consists of a bright red lesion (a nodule, papule or plaque) raised above the skin; which is so-called a ”strawberry” or “capillary” hemangioma [16, 17]. The deep/subcutaneous type is a less common presentation with a typically raised colored skin nodule (a bluish hue) with/without a telangiectatic patch; which is so-called a ”cavernous” hemangioma [16]. The mixed/combined IHs are the least common with having the features of both superficial and deep hemangiomas [16]. It should be noted that most of the IHs are not clinically evident at birth and takes up to months to be noted [9, 18].
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Despite the fact that IHs are benign and self-limited in nature, they can cause serious complications such as ulceration or a permanent skin disfigurement [9, 12, 19]. Furthermore, they may compromise the functions of a vital organ or develop life-threatening visceral hemangionomas [9, 12, 19]. The approach to the treatment of hemangiomas should be individualized, based upon the size of the lesion, morphology, location, presence or possibility of complications, the potential for scarring or disfigurement, the age of the patient, and the rate of growth or involution at the time of evaluation [16, 20]. The topical treatment is used mainly for uncomplicated IHs which includes topical beta-blockers, corticosteroids, and imiquimod [21–24]. Imiquimod is a topical immune response modifier with antiangiogenic and proapoptotic properties [24]. The current evidence about the efficacy of imiquimod for the treatment IHs is conflicting [25–30]. In this study, we aim to summarize the available evidence in this regard with stressing on the possible side effects as well.

2 METHOD:

Search strategy and study selection:

The study process was conducted following the accepted methodology recommendations of the PRISMA checklist for systematic review and meta-analysis where registration of the protocol is not mandated [31]. We conducted a systematic electronic database search for suitable studies from inception till 10th December 2019 in nine databases including Google Scholar, System for Information on Grey Literature in Europe (SIGLE), Scopus, Web of Science (ISI), PubMed, Virtual Health Library (VHL), Clinical trials.gov, metaRegister of Controlled Trials (mRCT) and The WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) databases using the following search term: (imiquimod and infantile hemangiomia). We conducted manual search of references in our included papers for collecting missed relevant studies [32]. We included all relevant original publications reporting safety and efficacy of treatment of infantile hemangiomia with imiquimod. There were no restrictions on study design, country, language or publication date. Papers were excluded if there were one of the following exclusion criteria: i) in vitro or animal studies; ii) data duplication, overlapping or unreliably extracted or incomplete data; iii) abstract only articles, reviews, thesis, books, conference papers or articles without available full texts (conferences, editorials, author response, letters, and comments). Three independent reviewers screened title and abstract for selecting eligible papers. Further full text screening was performed to ensure the inclusion of relevant papers in our systematic review. Any disagreement was done by discussion and consulting the senior member when necessary.

Data extraction:

The data extraction form was developed by two authors, using a Microsoft Excel file. Three reviewers independently extracted data from included studies using the excel sheet. Data checking was performed through a fourth reviewer. All the disagreements and discrepancies were resolved by discussion and consultation with a senior member when necessary.

Quality assessment:

Three independent reviewers evaluated the risk of bias in included studies. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) quality assessment tool was used to assess the quality of each included studies [33]. Quality assessment of each study was obtained through a scoring system including 14 questions. The criterion was judged as following; a score of 13 to 14 was good, 9 to 12 was fair, and studies scoring below 9 are considered of poor quality for cohort studies [34]. Any discrepancy between the reviewers was solved by discussion.

Statistical analysis:

All data were analyzed using R software version 3.6.1 [35]. Using the “meta” package, different clinical response rates and the prevalence of side effects have been calculated [36]. The corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) of pooled effect size were calculated using a random-effects due to the presence of heterogeneity. Heterogeneity was assessed with Q statistics and I^2 test considering it significant with I^2 value > 50% or P-value < 0.05 [37]. Publication bias could not be assessed using Egger’s regression test due to the small number of included studies (less than 10) [38, 39].

3 RESULTS:

Study characteristics:

Our systematic search yielded 232 reports. By using endnote software, we excluded 52 duplicates. Title and abstract screening resulted in exclusion of 153 reports inclusion of 27 reports for further full text screening. Seven articles were eligible for inclusion after full text screening. Additional two papers were added after performing manual search. Finally, we included 9 studies for this systematic review [40–48] Figure 1.

There were three retrospective cohorts and five prospective ones and one non-randomized clinical trial Table 1. The sample size was 253 patients ranged from 9 to 68 patients. Regarding IH type, 164 patients had superficial IH, 40 had mixed IH, 14 had deep IH and the type was not reported in 25 patients. All studies were of fair criterion.

Clinical response rates:

Five studies with 158 patients were assessed for clinical resolution rates, following the use of imiquimod. In the same context, five studies (155 patients), seven studies (180 patients), six studies (171 patients), and five studies (146 patients) have assessed different response degrees of excellent (100 to 75%), moderate (50 to 75%), minimal (25 to 50%), and poor response and/or failure (0 to 25%), respectively. About one-fifth of the patients (20.9%) have showed clinical resolution with 95% CI of 11.8% to 34.1% Figure 2. Moreover, the reported rates of excellent and moderate responses were 18.3% (95% CI = 12.8% to 25.4%) and 20.2% (95% CI = 12.6% to 30.8%), respectively. Nevertheless, the reported minimal and poor/failure rate were considerably high with 29.2% (95% CI = 15.9% to 47.4%) and 34.3% (95% CI = 26.9% to 42.3%), respectively Figure 2.
Figure 1. Flow chart of the process of the review

Table 1. Study characteristic table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference ID</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age in months (mean (SD))</th>
<th>Male (event)</th>
<th>Type of IH (Event)</th>
<th>QA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ho/2007/Canada</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18 **#</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang/2011/China</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mao/2012/China</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCuaig/2009/Canada</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiu/2013/China</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seirafi/2012/Iran</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.1 (6.3)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh/2004/USA</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3-7 **</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo/2009/China</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>14 *</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu/2014/China ***</td>
<td>Non-RCT</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>14.6*#</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = median, ** = weeks, *** = range, NR = not reported, RC = retrospective cohort, PC = prospective cohort, QA = quality assessment, *** = non-meta-analyzed study.
Figure 2. Showing clinical response of infantile hemangioma after treatment of imiquimod.
On the comparison of different types of hemangioma, superficial type showed the highest rates of both clinical resolution and excellent response rates with 31.2% (95% CI= 16.6% to 50.8%) and 26.5% (95% CI= 11.6% to 49.6%), respectively. In contrast, the worst response rates were reported in patients with deep hemangiomas where 66.7% (95% CI= 15.4% to 95.7%) of the cases showing poor response or treatment failure Figure 3.

Prevalence of side effects

Three studies with 86 patients has reported the rates of different side effects. A relatively high prevalence of any side effects with 63.1% (95% CI= 47.6% to 76.3%) has been reported. The highest reported side effects were crustation (44.1%; 95% CI= 27.8% to 61.8%), erythema/edema (34.5%; 95% CI= 17.5% to 56.6%), and erosion/light crustation (31.6%; 95% CI= 14.9% to 54.8%). In contrast, the lowest reported side effects were nausea (5.3%; 95% CI= 0.7% to 29.4%), inflammation (5.6%; 95% CI= 0.8% to 30.7%), and peeling (6.8%; 95% CI= 2.2% to 19.1%), respectively Figure 4.

4 DISCUSSION:

IH is a common benign tumor in infancy affecting approximately 4 - 10% [49]. Mainly, IH requires no treatment as the major growth of IH occurs at 5 months with subsequent remission with the infant growth [50]. However, if IH comprises a variable complications such as ulceration, interference with vision, airway and hearing; treatment is mandated [51]. Moreover, cosmetic appearance of affected cases constitutes an important indication for treatment due to the interference of the psychological life of the child compared to healthy peers [52].

Different therapeutic modalities have been developed for the treatment of IH. Historically, the treatment of IH consists of corticosteroids, surgical excision and laser therapy [53–55]. Due to the associated side effects of long term treatment with corticosteroids in addition to the contraindication of surgery in some children; emergence of new drugs has become the primary concern among physicians [56]. Imiquimod is an immune-modulator drug that promotes the release of cytokines and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) directly and IFN gamma (IFN-γ) which in part decrease the blood supply of IH in addition to limitation of their proliferation [57, 58].

In our study, the clinical resolution of IH treated with imiquimod was successful in one fifth of patients. In parallel with Ho et al [10], study that reported a successful clinical resolution rate in 22% of the population. Additionally, Guo et al [47], indicated that a quarter of patients experienced clinical resolution of IH. On the contrary, clinical resolution was demonstrated as 7 and 16% for Jiang et al [41], and Mao et al [42], respectively.

Subgroup analysis based upon IH type, showed that imiquimod is beneficial in promoting clinical resolution in nearly one third of superficial hemangioma patients in addition to providing a remarkable excellent and moderate improvement in approximately 25% and 20% of superficial IH patients, respectively. However, the drug had a minimal improvement and /or failure rates in nearly two thirds of deep type and half of mixed type of IH patients. The high efficacy in treating superficial but not deep and mixed type of IH can be explained through the topical action of the drug which may fail dramatically in reaching large parts of the deep and the mixed types of IH [41].

Despite being an efficient drug for treating superficial IH, various side effects had been reported through different studies ranging from local to systemic negative consequences [42, 46]. In our study, the prevalence of patients receiving imiquimod- that experienced side effects was 63%. Our results was nearly similar to Guo et al [47], where 59% of patients revealed one or more local side effects. However, 14 out of 16 patients in McCuaig et al [43], reported local skin reactions.

The side effects were mainly local in origin; however, two patients experienced fever, 1 reported nausea and vomiting affected one participant, Mao et al [42]. Various local side effects were demonstrated by many studies such as ulceration, crustation, erythema, itching and sacring [41, 44, 46, 47]. The most common presented side effects in our study were crustation and erythema and edema revealing percentages of 44% and 34%, respectively. Similar observation was indicated by Jiang et al [41] in which 55% of IH patients developed crustation, out of these two thirds were of superficial type and the other third was of mixed type. Furthermore, erythema and oedema was the most common negative consequences associated with treatment of imiquimod with a percentage of 30% [47].

Limitations:

Our study should be interpreted with several limitations. Firstly, inclusion of retrospective cohort studies therefore selection bias could not be avoided. Secondly, the difference in age, sex and duration of the drug application may influence the reported efficacy and side effects as well; therefore, more studies are needed to address this issue.

5 CONCLUSION:

Imiquimod is effective in the treatment of superficial but not deep and mixed types of IH with moderate range of local side effects.
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