Retrospective Dosimetric Analysis of Bone Marrow Sparing vs Non Bone Marrow Sparing Image Guided Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy in Intact Carcinoma Cervix Patients
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ABSTRACT

Aim: Aim of this study was to compare dosimetric parameters of bone marrow (BM) and non bone marrow sparing with state of the art technique image guided volumetric modulated arc therapy in the treatment of Carcinoma Cervix.

Methods and Materials: The retrospective Dosimetric study was conducted on 10 consecutive patients of biopsy-proven invasive cervical cancer attending the outpatient department of Geetanjali cancer centre. For treatment planning, patients were scanned on a GE Optima-520, 16 slice fan-beam CT (FBCT) scanner with 2.5 mm slice thickness in the supine position on a customized vacuum cushion with simulation tattoos and alignment lasers. All the simulation scans were taken after following a bladder and bowel preparation protocol, aimed at an empty rectum and full bladder, starting prior to the initial planning scan and continuing throughout the treatment. The prescribed dose to PTV was 50Gy in 25 fractions (2Gy/fraction) and from second week of radiotherapy 50mg/m²/week cisplatin chemotherapy was administered for five weeks. Two sets of plans were generated for all the 10 patients using Volumetric Arc Radiotherapy (VMAT) in Monaco v 5.11.02(Elekta, Crawley, UK) treatment planning system. Keeping all constraints in mind planning optimization was performed with no compromise in coverage of PTV.

Results: In the present study V5, V10, V20, V30 were all significantly lower in BMS-IG/VMAT plan. Maximum significance was noted at V20. Value of V40 is lower in BM-IG/VMAT plan, but statistically not significant.

Conclusion: Treatment of carcinoma cervix is restricted as a result of toxicity of the surrounding normal structures and HT. HT could be significantly reduced by bone marrow sparing, which could be aided by IGRT/VMAT. This modality could allow completion of treatment with prescribed dose and concurrent chemotherapy in allotted stipulated time. More prospective randomized control trials are needed, to prove its efficacy.
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1 INTRODUCTION:

Prevalence of Cervical cancer is high in developing nations and it is estimated that close to 569,847 new cases and 311,356 deaths, related to carcinoma cervix were noted in 2018 [1]. Concurrent chemo-radiotherapy is used as a standard treatment protocol. Concurrent chemo-radiotherapy has significantly improved tumor control, overall survival and progression free survival [2]. Many studies have reported that when the planned dose is delivered to the tumor using conventional techniques such as, 2D and 3DCRT, a larger volume of bone marrow is irradiated and higher dose is delivered to the exposed bone marrow[3, 4]. More than 50% of active bone marrow in adults is located in the pelvic and neighboring bones , which, when irradiated during the pelvic radiotherapy leads to decrease in the level of blood counts [3]. Concurrent chemo-radiotherapy to pelvis increases the hematological toxicity (HT) particularly leukopenia, neutropenia and thrombocytopenia. This Hematological Toxicity can cause uncalled interruption in the radiotherapy schedule or reduction in the no. of chemotherapy cycles scheduled. The fore mentioned problems results in reduced tumor control and accelerated tumor progression [5]. As a result, sparing bone marrow as much as possible has become a priority in delivering precision RT [6]. Using IMRT/VMAT radiation doses can be delivered with high conformity, while avoiding nearby critical structures. The benefits of IMRT/VMAT were documented in head and neck cancer [7, 8], prostate cancer [3, 9]. The results of RTOG 0418 phase II trial shown hematological toxicities can be associated with mean dose and higher dose irradiation volume of BM [10] [10]. Few studies associated hematological toxicity with $V_{15Gy}$ and $V_{20Gy}$ [11, 12]. The usage of image guided volumetric modulated arc therapy technique (IG/VMAT) is on raise in recent times and its role is well established [13]. Aim of the present study is to investigate Dose Volume Histogram parameters between state of the art technique IGRT/VMAT plan with and without bone marrow sparing and compare the results.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The present study is a retrospective Dosimetric study conducted on 10 consecutive patients of biopsy-proven invasive cervical cancer attending the outpatient department of Geetanjali cancer centre, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India between January 2019 and April 2019. As per FIGO [14] two patients were IIB, two patients were IIIA, six patients were IIIB. For treatment planning, patients were scanned on a GE Optima 520, 16 slice fan-beam CT (FBCT) scanner with 2.5 mm slice thickness in the supine position on a customized vacuum cushion with simulation tattoos and alignment lasers. All the simulation scans were taken after following a bladder and bowel preparation protocol, aimed at an empty rectum and full bladder, starting prior to the initial planning scan and continuing throughout the treatment. The bowel preparation consists of a low bulk diet, and rectum voiding before treatment. The bladder preparation requires 1 litre of water to be consumed 30 min before planning scan and every treatment time. Fludicial markers were inserted into the vaginal cuff to visualize it on CT images. CT images were obtained from TI2 to middle third of femur. Oral and intravenous contrast were administered to all the patients before acquiring CT images.

Gross Tumor volume (GTV) defined as gross tumor, its extent and positive lymph nodes as seen on the image. CTV was defined, based on the primary tumor extent and positive node involved with an additional margin for including microscopic spread. PTV was created from the CTV with an additional margin of 5-7 mm in all direction. Based on standard Radiation Therapy Oncology Group guidelines (RTOG) [15–17], Organ at Risk included bladder, rectum, both femoral head, small bowel and the bone marrow. The low-density regions inside the bone were contoured by free hand as the surrogate for BM as demonstrated by Mahaneshety et al [18]. To bring uniformity the widow was adjusted to bone range while contouring the surrogate for bone marrow. All contouring was done by a single physician and verified by another physician for all plans, so that inter-observer variations could be eliminated. The cranial border of bone marrow contour began at 1 cm above the uppermost border of planning target volume (PTV) and ended at 1 cm below the lower border of the PTV. The rectum was outlined up sigmoid flexure. The small bowel included the entire peritoneal cavity (not individual loops of bowel) up to L3. The prescribed dose to PTV was 50Gy in 25 fractions (2Gy/fraction) and from second week of radiotherapy 50mg/m²/week cisplatin chemotherapy was administered for five weeks.

Two sets of plans were generated for all the 10 patients using Volumetric Arc Radiotherapy (VMAT) in Monaco v 5.11.02(Elekta, Crawley, UK) treatment planning system, using Monte Carlo Algorithm using two full arcs.3mm grid space along with 5mm minimum segment width was used for all the plans. Keeping all constraints in mind planning optimization was performed with no compromise in coverage of PTV. V95 of PTV received $\geq 95\%$ of the prescribed dose in both Bone Marrow Sparing and Normal VMAT plan. Constraints for bowel bag V40 $< 30\%$, for rectum and bladder V40 $< 50-60\%$ and for both femoral head V30 $< 20\%$. Constraints to bone marrow were V10 $< 90\%$ and V 20 $< 75\%$ of prescribed dose.

Before treatment delivery CBCT scans were acquired in Elekta XVI with software version 5.0.2, using large volume scan protocol mode settings 120 kV, 80 mA, 28 mAs and acquisition angle range 360, maximum reconstruction diameter 40 cm, using the half-fan bowtie filter for Image Guided Radiotherapy during 25 fraction treatment course. Positional error, if any, were corrected and the prescribed dose was delivered.

Dosimetric comparisons of plans were done based on the following parameters extracted from dose volume histogram
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(DVH): homogeneity index (HI), conformity index (CI), V5, V10, V20, V30, V40 of pelvic bone marrow, V10, V20, V30, V40 of small bowel, V20, V30, V40 of bladder and V10, V20, V30, V40 of rectum. The HI was used to analyze the dose uniformity and defined as D5/D95. The lower the HI, the better the dose homogeneity. CI = the percentage of the PTV volume receiving at least 50 Gy / the ratio of the volume of the PTV receiving at least 50 Gy to the total 50 Gy volume. The closer the CI value was to 1, the better the dose conformity [19].

SPSS software was used in analyzing the data (version 20.0, SPSS Inc, USA). Data was expressed in the form of mean ± standard deviation (± s). Paired two-tailed students t test was used to evaluate the significance of differences.

3 RESULTS:

Homogeneity index and conformity index of the PTV coverage

The values of HI and CI were mentioned in Table 1. The results revealed no statistical difference between HI and CI with bone marrow sparing and normal IG/VMAT.

Comparison of dosimetric parameters of OARs for two modalities

Various dosimetric parameters of bone marrow, small bowel, bladder, and rectum were described in the Table 2. Statistical difference was seen V5, V10, V20, V30 pelvic bone marrow (P=0.006, P=0.001, P= 0.000, P=0.003). Irradiated Bone marrow volume was significantly reduced in both low and high dose areas by BMS-IG/VMAT technique. V40 of bone marrow was not significant. No significance was noted in volume parameters of small bowel and bladder. For rectum, V20 demonstrated notable significance between BM-IG/VMAT and IG/VMAT. The most possible explanation for the results in small bowel, bladder and rectum might be due to increase in irradiated volume of small bowel, bladder, rectum to achieve lower irradiated volume of pelvic bone marrow.

Figure 1. Contured structure set

Figure 2. comparison of DVH of BMS-IG/VMAT and IG/VMAT

4 DISCUSSION:

The purpose of our study was to compare bone marrow sparing IG/VMAT with normal IG/VMAT planning, to reduce volume of irradiated pelvic bone marrow, which could be an important contributing factor for acute bone marrow toxicity [3, 12, 15]. Concurrent chemotherapy with highly conformal radiotherapy has improved the survival rates, as well as increasing hematological toxicity [20]. Prolonged treatment duration due to interruption caused by ≥ grade 2 hematological toxicity, will have negative impact on overall survival and also local control [21–23]. Results of Rose BS et al., showed that grade 3 neutropenia is high, if the volume of PBM (pelvic bone marrow) receiving 10 Gy is >95% than in those patients in whom <95% of the PBM received this dose (63.8% vs 24.6%; p<0.001) [24]. Albuquerque K reported that grade ≥2 HT can be strongly predicted by volume of PBM receiving 20 Gy. The risk of HT increased by a factor of 4.5 when >80% of PBM received 20 Gy [15, 25]. In the present study V5, V10, V20, V30 were all significantly lower in BMS-IG/VMAT plan. Maximum significance was noted at V20. Value of V40 is lower in BM-IG/VMAT plan, but statistically not significant. RTOG 0418 trial described that ≥ grade 2 HT is more if the volume of PBM receiving 40 Gy is >37% (p=0.04) [10]. Although the constraints were not achieved in the present study, the mean values of all volumes were significantly less. This could be due to not including femoral heads in the bone marrow; as a result the absolute value of pelvic bone marrow is decreased. Rest of the studies included femoral heads in the volume of pelvic bone marrow. Other possible reason could be that we conducted this dosimetric analysis on intact cervical patients wherein generous PTV was considered. All our patients received 50 Gy in 25 fractions and rest of the studies 45 Gy in 25 fractions was delivered. Major limitation of our study was that the constraints given to the bladder and rectum (V40≤40-60%) were not met. As majority of rectum and bladder volume was lying within the PTV. RTOG 0418 [10] described in patients of endometrial carcinoma the constraints given to bladder and rectum of V45 ≤35% and V45 ≤60% were achieved in only 33.3% and 22.8% respectively. By analyzing the current data, we concluded that the constraints given were unrealistic. RTOG 0418 study suggested that new trials should try to liberalize the dose constraints to a certain level [10]. Study by Mell LK et al., BMS-IMRT
CONCLUSION:

its efficacy. Prospective randomized control trials are needed to prove bone marrow-sparing. More completion of treatment with prescribed dose and could be significantly reduced by bone marrow sparing, toxicity of the surrounding normal structures and HT. HT treatment of carcinoma cervix is restricted as a result of could improve bone marrow-sparing. Tool to permit safe reduction in the planning margins that words, IGR T/VMA T technique could be used as a better dose and volume level was much better than IMR T in other studies. By Krishnan et al., showed the sparing of bone marrow with VMAT at different dose and volume level was much better than IMRT. In other words, IGRT/VMAT technique could be used as a better tool to permit safe reduction in the planning margins that could improve bone marrow-sparing.

5 CONCLUSION:

Treatment of carcinoma cervix is restricted as a result of toxicity of the surrounding normal structures and HT. HT could be significantly reduced by bone marrow sparing, which could be aided by IGRT/VMAT. This modality could allow completion of treatment with prescribed dose and concurrent chemotherapy in allotted stipulated time. More prospective randomized control trials are needed, to prove its efficacy.
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